

DETERMINING SUBGROUPS VIA STATIONARY MEASURES

DONGRYUL M. KIM AND ANDREW ZIMMER

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider random walks on the isometry groups of general metric spaces. Under some mild conditions, we show that if two non-elementary random walks on a discrete subgroup of the isometry group have non-singular stationary measures, then subgroups generated by the random walks are commensurable. This result in particular applies to separable Gromov hyperbolic spaces and Teichmüller spaces. As a specific application, we prove singularity between stationary measures associated to random walks on different fiber subgroups of the fundamental group of a hyperbolic 3-manifold fibering over the circle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a group G and subgroups $H_1, H_2 < G$, we say that H_1 and H_2 are *commensurable* if their intersection $H_1 \cap H_2$ is of finite index in both H_1 and H_2 . Susskind and Swarup studied the commensurability of two subgroups of a Kleinian group in terms of their limit sets. More precisely, they proved the following rigidity theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Susskind–Swarup [SS92]). *Suppose $G < \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is a discrete subgroup and $H_1, H_2 < G$ are non-elementary geometrically finite subgroups. If the limit sets of H_1 and H_2 in $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ are the same, then H_1 and H_2 are commensurable.*

When $n = 3$, Anderson [And94] and Yang–Jiang [YJ10] relaxed the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1, using Canary’s work on tame hyperbolic 3-manifolds [Can93] and the tameness conjecture (established by Agol [Ago04] and Calegari–Gabai [CG06]).

On the other hand, the commensurability rigidity as in Theorem 1.1 is not true in general, e.g. a normal subgroup in a discrete group must have the same limit set as the entire group. Indeed, as a consequence of the virtual Haken conjecture proved by Agol [Ago13], any closed hyperbolic 3-manifold has a finite cover fibering over the circle ([Wis09], [Wis21]), and there is a plethora of different fibrations over the circle, as parametrized by Thurston’s fibered cones [Thu86] (see also Fried’s cones [Fri82]). All such fibers give rise to surface subgroups contained in a cocompact lattice of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ with the full limit set $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$. See Section 1.2 for detailed discussions.

Nevertheless, in this paper, we extend this commensurability rigidity to general subgroups, by shifting the perspective to considering

random walks on subgroups and stationary measures.

Noting that the limit set of a discrete subgroup in Theorem 1.1 is the set of all accumulation points of its orbit, this new viewpoint is about the accumulation *along a random trajectory*.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON, USA

E-mail addresses: dongryul.kim@yale.edu, amzimmer2@wisc.edu.

Date: January 6, 2026.

We now present our setup more precisely. Given a metric space (X, d_X) and a countable group G acting on X by isometries, the random walk induced by a probability measure m on G is given by

$$\omega_n := g_1 \cdots g_n \in G$$

where the g_i 's are independent identically distributed elements of $\text{Isom}(X)$ each with distribution m .

A bordification \overline{X} of X is a Hausdorff and second countable topological space to which X is embedded as an open dense subset, such that the G -action on X continuously extends to \overline{X} . An example of a bordification is the Gromov compactification of a proper Gromov hyperbolic metric space. Fixing a basepoint $o \in X$, the *hitting measure* ν on \overline{X} for the random walk is defined as follows: for a Borel subset $E \subset \overline{X}$,

$$\nu(E) := \text{Prob} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_n o \text{ exists and is in } E \right).$$

While ν is not always a probability measure, in the settings we consider it is indeed a probability measure supported on the boundary $\partial X := \overline{X} \setminus X$. Note that the Markov property of the random walk implies that ν is *m-stationary*, i.e., $m * \nu = \nu$.

We say that m has *finite first moment* for d_X if

$$\mathbb{E}[d_X(o, go)] = \sum_{g \in G} d_X(o, go) m(g) < +\infty.$$

Throughout the paper, we consider two random walks on subgroups $H_1, H_2 < G$ with finite first moments for d_X . Particular examples we study include:

- (1) X is a separable geodesic Gromov hyperbolic space, $G < \text{Isom}(X)$ acts metrically properly on X , and $H_1, H_2 < G$ are non-elementary subgroups.
- (2) $X = \mathbb{H}^3$ is hyperbolic 3-space, $G < \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is the fundamental group of a hyperbolic 3-manifold fibering over the circle, and $H_1, H_2 < G$ are fiber subgroups. Along similar lines, $X = G$ is a hyperbolic free-by-cyclic group and $H_1, H_2 < G$ are free fiber subgroups.
- (3) $X = \mathcal{T}(S)$ is the Teichmüller space of a closed surface S with genus at least two and $H_1, H_2 < \text{Mod}(S)$ are non-elementary subgroups of the mapping class group of S .

In the above settings, each random walk has a unique stationary measure on an appropriate boundary, and is equal to the hitting measure. We will show that the non-singularity between stationary measures for random walks on H_1 and H_2 implies the commensurability of H_1 and H_2 . Since the stationary measures are supported on the limit sets of H_1 and H_2 , this extends the rigidity result of Susskind–Swarup (Theorem 1.1) to broader classes of groups using random walks.

We will prove a general statement for a bordification of a geodesic metric space under certain hypotheses in Theorem 2.1, and then deduce results in the introduction from it.

1.1. Isometries on separable Gromov hyperbolic spaces. Let (X, d_X) be a separable geodesic Gromov hyperbolic space with the Gromov boundary ∂X . We allow X to be non-proper and ∂X to be non-compact.

A countable subgroup $G < \text{Isom}(X)$ of isometries is *non-elementary* if it contains two loxodromic elements that fix distinct pairs of points in ∂X and *acts metrically*

proper if

$$\#\{g \in G : gB \cap B \neq \emptyset\} < +\infty$$

for any bounded subset $B \subset X$.

Maher–Tiozzo proved that for a probability measure \mathfrak{m} whose support generates a non-elementary subgroup G as a group, there exists a unique \mathfrak{m} -stationary measure ν on ∂X and is the same as the hitting measure for the random walk on G induced by \mathfrak{m} [MT18]. When X is proper, this is due to Kaimanovich [Kai00].

Via stationary measures, we detect subgroups up to commensurability.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $G < \text{Isom}(X)$ is countable and acts metrically properly on X . Let $H_1, H_2 < G$ be non-elementary subgroups. For $j = 1, 2$ assume*

- \mathfrak{m}_j is a probability measure on H_j with finite first moment for d_X ,
- H_j is generated by the support of \mathfrak{m}_j , and
- ν_j is the \mathfrak{m}_j -stationary measure on ∂X .

If ν_1 and ν_2 are not singular, then H_1 and H_2 are commensurable.

As we will see in Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.5, the moment condition is necessary.

As a corollary, we obtain the following singularity of stationary measures for the case that $X = G = H_2$ is a hyperbolic group.

Corollary 1.3. *Suppose G is a hyperbolic group and $H < G$ is a non-elementary subgroup of infinite index. Assume respectively that*

- \mathfrak{m}_G and \mathfrak{m}_H are probability measures on G and H with finite first moments for a word metric on G ,
- G and H are generated by the supports of \mathfrak{m}_G and \mathfrak{m}_H , and
- ν_G and ν_H are the \mathfrak{m}_G -stationary and \mathfrak{m}_H -stationary measures on ∂G .

Then ν_G and ν_H are mutually singular, i.e.,

$$\nu_G \perp \nu_H.$$

The same statement holds when G is a relatively hyperbolic group, replacing the Gromov boundary ∂G above with the Bowditch boundary of G , and the word metric on G with the metric on a Gromov model for G .

1.2. Fibrations of hyperbolic 3-manifolds and Cannon–Thurston maps.

We present a different formulation of Theorem 1.2 for some special cases. Suppose a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M admits a fibration

$$S \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$$

over the circle with a fiber $S \subset M$. We simply call M a fibered hyperbolic 3-manifold. Such M has infinitely many different fibrations, parametrized by Thurston’s fibered cones [Thu86]. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that they can all be distinguished by random walks and stationary measures.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose M is a fibered hyperbolic 3-manifold and $S_1, S_2 \subset M$ are fibers of two different fibrations of M over the circle. For $j = 1, 2$ assume*

- \mathfrak{m}_j is a probability measure on $\pi_1(S_j)$ with finite first moment for a word metric on $\pi_1(M)$,
- $\pi_1(S_j)$ is generated by the support of \mathfrak{m}_j , and
- ν_j is the \mathfrak{m}_j -stationary measure on $\partial\pi_1(M)$.

Then ν_1 and ν_2 are mutually singular, i.e.,

$$\nu_1 \perp \nu_2.$$

Since M is a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold, $\partial\pi_1(M)$ can be identified with $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ in Corollary 1.4.

For a fibered hyperbolic 3-manifold M with a fiber $S \subset M$, we can regard $\pi_1(S)$ and $\pi_1(M)$ as discrete subgroups of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$ and $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ respectively. Then the inclusion $S \subset M$ induces a $\pi_1(S)$ -equivariant embedding $\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$. In [CT07], Cannon and Thurston showed that this embedding continuously extends to a space-filling curve $\partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^3$, which is now called the *Cannon–Thurston map* for the fibration $S \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$.

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose M is a fibered hyperbolic 3-manifold with a fiber $S \subset M$ and the associated Cannon–Thurston map $f : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^3$. Assume respectively that*

- \mathfrak{m}_S and \mathfrak{m}_M are probability measures on $\pi_1(S)$ and $\pi_1(M)$ with finite first moments for the metric on \mathbb{H}^3 ,
- $\pi_1(S)$ and $\pi_1(M)$ are generated by the supports of \mathfrak{m}_S and \mathfrak{m}_M , and
- ν_S and ν_M are the \mathfrak{m}_S -stationary measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and the \mathfrak{m}_M -stationary measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$.

Then $f_*\nu_S$ and ν_M are mutually singular, i.e.,

$$f_*\nu_S \perp \nu_M.$$

Note that since $\pi_1(S)$ acts cocompactly on \mathbb{H}^2 , the moment condition on \mathfrak{m}_S for the metric on \mathbb{H}^3 is weaker than the one for the metric on \mathbb{H}^2 .

Remark 1.6. Since $\pi_1(S)$ and $\pi_1(M)$ can be regarded as cocompact lattices in $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$ and $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$, it follows from the work of Lyons–Sullivan [LS84] that Lebesgue measures on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ are respectively stationary measures for random walks on $\pi_1(S)$ and $\pi_1(M)$ with finite exponential moments (see also Ballmann–Ledrappier [BL96]). Therefore, the same singularity results as in Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 hold after replacing stationary measures with the Lebesgue measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ or the pushforward of the Lebesgue measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ through Cannon–Thurston map. The singularity between Lebesgue measures under the Cannon–Thurston map was first proved by Tukia [Tuk89] as a generalization of Mostow’s rigidity theorem.

We also refer to the work of Connell–Muchnik ([CM07b], [CM07a]) for general quasi-convex groups of isometries on $\text{CAT}(-1)$ -spaces and random walks on them whose stationary measures are quasi-conformal measures, or more general Gibbs measures.

Remark 1.7.

- (1) The singularity among stationary measures and Lebesgue measures through the Cannon–Thurston map was first proved by Gadre–Maher–Pfaff–Uyanik in [GMPU25], under conditions of finite exponential moments and groups being generated by the supports as semigroups. They also proved quantitative results on quasi-geodesics. We relax the moment condition to finite first moment and the semigroup condition to a subgroup condition in Corollary 1.5.

- (2) The notion of Cannon–Thurston map was generalized further by Mj [Mit98] to hyperbolic groups and their normal subgroups with hyperbolic quotients. Analogous rigidity results for those generalized Cannon–Thurston maps can also be deduced from Theorem 1.2 or Corollary 1.3. We refer to the work of Kapovich–Lustig [KL15] for an explicit description of Cannon–Thurston maps for free-by-cyclic groups with hyperbolic iwip monodromies.

1.3. Mapping class groups and Teichmüller spaces. Let S be a closed connected orientable surface of genus at least two. The Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ of S is the space of all marked hyperbolic structures on S , and it admits a natural metric called the Teichmüller metric $d_{\mathcal{T}}$ which is proper and geodesic. Thurston compactified the Teichmüller space by the space \mathcal{PMF} of projective measured foliations on S [Thu88]. This is now referred to as Thurston’s compactification of $\mathcal{T}(S)$, and \mathcal{PMF} is also called Thurston’s boundary of $\mathcal{T}(S)$.

The mapping class group $\text{Mod}(S)$ of the surface S is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms on S . The natural $\text{Mod}(S)$ -action on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is proper and by isometries, and in fact $\text{Mod}(S)$ is more or less the full isometry group of $(\mathcal{T}(S), d_{\mathcal{T}})$ as shown by Royden [Roy71] and by Earle and Kra [EK74a], [EK74b] (see also [Iva01]). Thurston also showed that the $\text{Mod}(S)$ -action on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ continuously extends to the action on Thurston’s compactification $\mathcal{T}(S) \cup \mathcal{PMF}$. A subgroup $H < \text{Mod}(S)$ is called *non-elementary* if H contains two pseudo-Anosov mapping classes with distinct pairs of invariant projective measured foliations.

In [KM96], Kaimanovich and Masur showed that for a probability measure \mathbf{m} on a non-elementary subgroup $H < \text{Mod}(S)$ such that the support of \mathbf{m} generates H as a group, there exists a unique \mathbf{m} -stationary measure ν on \mathcal{PMF} , and is equal to the hitting measure of the random walk induced by \mathbf{m} . We show that stationary measures determine subgroups up to commensurability.

Theorem 1.8. *Suppose $H_1, H_2 < \text{Mod}(S)$ are non-elementary subgroups. For $j = 1, 2$ assume*

- \mathbf{m}_j is a probability measure on H_j with finite first moment for $d_{\mathcal{T}}$,
- H_j is generated by the support of \mathbf{m}_j as a group, and
- ν_j is the \mathbf{m}_j -stationary measure on \mathcal{PMF} .

If ν_1 and ν_2 are not singular, then H_1 and H_2 are commensurable.

Remark 1.9. In the forthcoming work, Eskin–Mirzakhani–Rafi [EMR] show that the Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{PMF} is a stationary measure for some random walk on $\text{Mod}(S)$ with finite first moment for the *Teichmüller metric* $d_{\mathcal{T}}$. Together with this, Theorem 1.8 implies the singularity of the Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{PMF} and the stationary measure of the random walk on an infinite-index subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S)$ with finite first moment for $d_{\mathcal{T}}$. Previously, Gadre–Maher–Tiozzo [GMT17] proved singularity of stationary measures and the Lebesgue measure for random walks whose step distribution has finite first moment for the *word metric* on $\text{Mod}(S)$. In the case where the step distribution is supported on an infinite-index subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S)$, Theorem 1.8 relaxes the moment condition to finite first moment for the *Teichmüller metric*.

As a special example, let $\mathcal{I} < \text{Mod}(S)$ be the *Torelli group*, which consists of mapping classes acting trivially on the first homology group $H_1(S)$. As \mathcal{I} is the

kernel of the symplectic representation

$$\mathrm{Mod}(S) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$$

where g is the genus of S , the Torelli group \mathcal{I} is an infinite-index normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$. Moreover, one can deduce from Thurston's construction of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes [Thu88] that \mathcal{I} is non-elementary.

Hence, \mathcal{I} is not commensurable to $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ while its action on \mathcal{PMF} is not dynamically distinguishable from that of $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$, i.e., both act minimally on \mathcal{PMF} ([FLP79], [MP89]). On the other hand, Theorem 1.8 implies that stationary measures are distinguished.

Corollary 1.10. *Suppose respectively that*

- $\mathbf{m}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and \mathbf{m} are probability measures on \mathcal{I} and $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ with finite first moments for $d_{\mathcal{T}}$,
- \mathcal{I} and $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ are generated by the supports of $\mathbf{m}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and \mathbf{m} , and
- $\nu_{\mathcal{I}}$ and ν are the $\mathbf{m}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -stationary and \mathbf{m} -stationary measures on \mathcal{PMF} .

Then $\nu_{\mathcal{I}}$ and ν are mutually singular, i.e.,

$$\nu_{\mathcal{I}} \perp \nu.$$

1.4. Organization. In Section 2, we define the abstract setting for random walks and state the most general version of our commensurability rigidity. Sections 3–5 are devoted to the proof of this general statement. In Section 3, we prove that random walks track quasi-geodesics. The complementary phenomenon that quasi-geodesics track random walks is proved in Section 4. In Section 5, we relate those trackings to stationary measures on quotients of groups. The necessity of the moment condition is discussed in Section 6, where we present examples that realize stationary measures of random walks on ambient groups by random walks on infinite-index normal subgroups.

Acknowledgements. We thank Yair Minsky for asking Kim about the singularity of stationary measures associated to random walks on different fiber subgroups (as in Corollary 1.4). We also thank Inhyeok Choi, David Fisher, and Caglar Uyanik for useful comments. Kim expresses his special gratitude to his Ph.D. advisor Hee Oh for her encouragement and guidance. Kim thanks the University of Wisconsin–Madison for hospitality during a visit in October 2025. Zimmer was partially supported by a Sloan research fellowship and grants DMS-2105580 and DMS-2452068 from the National Science Foundation.

2. WELL-BEHAVED RANDOM WALKS AND UNIVERSAL RIGIDITY THEOREM

In this section, we introduce the abstract setup we consider throughout the paper and state our most general version of the rigidity theorem (Theorem 2.1), from which all results in the introduction follow. This abstract setup is a modification of one considered by Tiozzo [Tio15, Section 2].

2.1. The abstract setup and main result. Let (X, d_X) be a geodesic metric space and \mathbf{G} be a countable group acting by isometries on X . A *bordification* \bar{X} of X is a Hausdorff and second countable topological space such that X is homeomorphic to an open dense subset of \bar{X} and that the \mathbf{G} -action on X continuously extends to \bar{X} , regarding X as a subset of \bar{X} . We denote by $\partial X := \bar{X} \setminus X$ the *boundary* of X .

For $a \geq 1$ and $K \geq 0$, a map $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ or its image is called a (bi-infinite) (a, K) -quasi-geodesic if for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{a} |t - s| - K \leq d_X(\sigma(t), \sigma(s)) \leq a |t - s| + K.$$

In forgetting a parametrization, we keep its orientation so that the image of a quasi-geodesic in X comes with an orientation.

Let $\text{QG}(X)$ denote the set of oriented non-parametrized quasi-geodesics in X and let $\mathcal{P}(\text{QG}(X))$ denote the power set of $\text{QG}(X)$. Suppose $P : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{QG}(X))$ is a G -equivariant map with the property that there exist $a \geq 1$ and $K \geq 0$ such that for each $(y^-, y^+) \in \partial X \times \partial X$, either $P(y^-, y^+)$ is empty or every element of $P(y^-, y^+)$ can be parametrized to be a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic.

Fixing a basepoint $o \in X$, define the map $D : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ by

$$D(y^-, y^+) = \sup_{\sigma \in P(y^-, y^+)} d_X(o, \sigma)$$

(when $P(y^-, y^+) = \emptyset$, we define $D(y^-, y^+) = +\infty$).

2.2. Random walks. Suppose G , X , $o \in X$, $P : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{QG}(X))$, and $D : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are as in the previous section.

Let \mathbf{m} be a probability measure on G . We consider the product space $(G^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ and denote each of its elements by $\mathbf{g} := (\dots, g_{-1}, g_0, g_1, g_2, \dots)$. We often use the shift map $S : G^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{Z}}$, which is defined by $S((g_n)) = (g_{n+1})$. More precisely, for $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in G^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the n -th component of $S(\mathbf{g})$ is g_{n+1} . The shift map preserves the measure $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and moreover is ergodic with respect to $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We call \mathbf{m} *well-behaved with respect to \bar{X} and P* if the following holds.

(W1) For $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} \in G^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the limits

$$\zeta(\mathbf{g}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_1 \cdots g_n o \in \partial X \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_0^{-1} \cdots g_{-n}^{-1} o \in \partial X$$

exist. Let $\nu := \zeta_* \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\hat{\nu} := \hat{\zeta}_* \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

(W2) $\hat{\nu}$ is non-atomic.

(W3) D is finite $\hat{\nu} \otimes \nu$ -a.e.

(W4) For $\hat{\nu} \otimes \nu$ -a.e. (y^-, y^+) and for every parametrization $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ of an element of $P(y^-, y^+)$, if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ and $\sup_{n \geq 0} d_X(x_n, \sigma(t_n)) < +\infty$ for some sequence $t_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$, then $x_n \rightarrow y^{\pm}$.

(W5) There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that for ν -a.e. $y^+ \in \partial X$, if $y_1^-, y_2^- \in \partial X \setminus \{y^+\}$ and $\sigma_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a parametrization of an element of $P(y_j^-, y^+)$ for $j = 1, 2$, then for some $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sigma_1([t_1, +\infty)) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}(\sigma_2([t_2, +\infty))) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2([t_2, +\infty)) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}(\sigma_1([t_1, +\infty)))$$

where \mathcal{N}_{κ} denotes the κ -neighborhood in X .

Note that the two random variables $\zeta(\mathbf{g})$ and $\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g})$ are independent. We often consider the space of one-sided sequences $(G^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}})$ and also denote each of its elements by $\mathbf{g} := (g_1, g_2, \dots)$. Then the $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. defined map $\zeta : G^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \partial X$ factors through $(G^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}})$, i.e., for the projection $G^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$(\dots, g_{-1}, g_0, g_1, g_2, \dots) \mapsto (g_1, g_2, \dots),$$

we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}} & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \zeta & \\ \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{N}} & \dashrightarrow & \partial X \end{array}$$

Abusing notations, we denote the above measurable map $\mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \partial X$ by ζ , which is $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -a.e. defined and $\nu = \zeta_* \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

2.3. Main result. We continue to suppose \mathbf{G} , X , $o \in X$, and $P : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{QG}(X))$ are as in Section 2.1 and \mathbf{m} is a probability measure on \mathbf{G} .

Recall that the *first moment* of \mathbf{m} for d_X is

$$\sum_{g \in \mathbf{G}} d_X(o, go) \mathbf{m}(g) \in [0, +\infty].$$

By Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem, if \mathbf{m} has finite first moment, then there exists $\ell(\mathbf{m}) \in [0, +\infty)$ so that for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\ell(\mathbf{m}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} d_X(o, g_1 \cdots g_n o).$$

The quantity $\ell(\mathbf{m})$ is called the *linear drift* of \mathbf{m} .

The \mathbf{G} -action on X is called *metrically proper* if for any bounded set $B \subset X$, the set $\{g \in \mathbf{G} : gB \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite. Our main theorem of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (see Theorem 5.1 below). *Suppose the \mathbf{G} -action on X is metrically proper and $\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2$ are probability measures on \mathbf{G} which have finite first moments for d_X , positive linear drifts, and are well-behaved with respect to \bar{X} and P .*

If their forward hitting measures $\zeta_ \mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\zeta_* \mathbf{m}_2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ on ∂X are not singular, then the subgroups*

$$\langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m}_1 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m}_2 \rangle$$

generated by their supports are commensurable.

2.4. Examples. We now show that random walks on certain classes of metric spaces are well-behaved, with respect to natural bordifications, and have positive linear drifts. As a result, all the statements in the introduction will follow from Theorem 2.1.

2.4.1. Isometry groups of separable Gromov hyperbolic spaces. For $\delta \geq 0$, a geodesic metric space (X, d_X) is called *δ -hyperbolic* if any geodesic triangle in X is δ -thin, i.e., for any geodesic triangle in X , one side is contained in the δ -neighborhood of the union of the other two sides. The metric space (X, d_X) is *Gromov hyperbolic* if it is δ -hyperbolic for some $\delta \geq 0$.

Let (X, d_X) be a δ -hyperbolic space. For $o, x, y \in X$, the Gromov product of x and y with respect to o is

$$\langle x, y \rangle_o := \frac{1}{2} (d_X(o, x) + d_X(o, y) - d_X(x, y)).$$

This quantity measures the distance between o and any geodesic segment between x and y , up to an additive error depending only on δ .

The *Gromov boundary* of X is defined as the space of equivalence classes of certain sequences in X :

$$\partial X := \{\{x_n\} \subset X : \liminf_{n,m \rightarrow +\infty} \langle x_n, x_m \rangle_o = +\infty\} / \sim$$

where $\{x_n\} \sim \{y_n\}$ if $\liminf_{n,m \rightarrow +\infty} \langle x_n, y_m \rangle_o = +\infty$. There are natural topologies on ∂X and $X \cup \partial X$ so that $\bar{X} := X \cup \partial X$ is a bordification of X . If X is proper, then ∂X and \bar{X} are compact. See [BH99], [KB02], [DSU17] for more details.

There exists $K = K(\delta) \geq 0$ such that for any distinct $y^\pm \in \partial X$, there exists a $(1, K)$ -quasi-geodesic $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \sigma(t) = y^\pm$, see [KB02, Remark 2.16]. Hence with this K , we define the map $P : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{QG}(X))$ as follows: for $(y^-, y^+) \in \partial X \times \partial X$,

$$P(y^-, y^+) := \left\{ \sigma \in \text{QG}(X) : \begin{array}{l} \exists \text{ parametrization } \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X \text{ s.t.} \\ \sigma \text{ is a } (1, K)\text{-quasi-geodesic and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \sigma(t) = y^\pm \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then by the choice of $K \geq 0$, we have $P(y^-, y^+) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $y^- \neq y^+$. Moreover, it is clear that P is equivariant under the action of the isometry group of X .

Isometries of X are classified into the following three types. For $g \in \text{Isom}(X)$, either

- g is *elliptic*, i.e., g has a bounded orbit in X ,
- g is *parabolic*, i.e., g is not elliptic and has a unique fixed point in ∂X , or
- g is *loxodromic*, i.e., g is not elliptic and has two distinct fixed points in ∂X , one is attracting and the other is repelling.

Two loxodromic elements $g, h \in \text{Isom}(X)$ are *independent* if they have disjoint sets of fixed points. A subgroup of $\text{Isom}(X)$ is called *non-elementary* if it contains two independent loxodromic isometries.

From now on, we further assume that (X, d_X) is separable, but it may not be proper. Let $\mathbf{G} < \text{Isom}(X)$ be a non-elementary subgroup whose action on X is metrically proper and suppose \mathbf{m} is a probability measure on \mathbf{G} such that \mathbf{G} is generated by the support of \mathbf{m} as a group. In this case, since the \mathbf{G} -action on X is metrically proper, the semigroup $\langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m} \rangle_+$ generated by the support of \mathbf{m} has an unbounded orbit. Hence, the non-elementary hypothesis on \mathbf{G} implies that $\langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m} \rangle_+$ contains two independent loxodromic isometries [DSU17, Theorem 6.2.3, Proposition 6.2.14].

We now show that \mathbf{m} is well-behaved with respect to \bar{X} and P . Since $\langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m} \rangle_+$ contains two independent loxodromic isometries, a result of Maher–Tiozzo [MT18, Theorem 1.1] implies Property (W1) and Property (W2). While they further assumed $\mathbf{G} = \langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m} \rangle_+$ throughout the paper, the proof of this statement works without the assumption that \mathbf{G} is generated by $\text{supp } \mathbf{m}$ as a semigroup, as long as the semigroup $\langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m} \rangle_+$ contains two independent loxodromic isometries. Then the non-atomicity in Property (W2) and the choice of $K \geq 0$ imply Property (W3). Property (W4) and Property (W5) are consequences of the Morse Lemma. Therefore, \mathbf{m} is well-behaved with respect to \bar{X} and P .

Finally, when \mathbf{m} has finite first moment, Gou  zel proved $\ell(\mathbf{m}) > 0$ [Gou22, Theorem 1.1]. This was shown in [MT18, Theorem 1.2] when $\mathbf{G} = \langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m} \rangle_+$. We note that finite first moment was only to ensure that $\ell(\mathbf{m})$ is well-defined. Replacing \lim with \liminf in defining $\ell(\mathbf{m})$, the positivity does not require any moment condition.

Therefore, Theorem 2.1 applies in this setting.

2.4.2. Mapping class groups and Teichmüller spaces. Let S be a closed connected orientable surface of genus at least two so that S admits a complete hyperbolic structure. The mapping class group $\text{Mod}(S)$ is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of S , and the Nielsen–Thurston classification says that there are three categories of its elements ([Nie44], [Thu88]). That is, for $g \in \text{Mod}(S)$,

- g is *periodic*, i.e., g has finite order.
- g is *reducible*, i.e., there exists a multicurve on S invariant under g , up to isotopy.
- g is *pseudo-Anosov*, i.e., g has a representative that preserves a pair of transverse (singular) measured foliations on S , stretching one and contracting the other.

Note that a single mapping class can be both periodic and reducible, while pseudo-Anosov mapping classes are neither periodic nor reducible.

Let $\mathcal{T}(S)$ denote the Teichmüller space of all marked hyperbolic structures on S endowed with the Teichmüller metric. This is a proper and geodesic metric space. Moreover, the natural action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is proper and by isometries. Let \mathcal{PMF} denote the projective space of measured foliations on S . Thurston defined a compact topology on $\mathcal{T}(S) \cup \mathcal{PMF}$ to which the $\text{Mod}(S)$ -action on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ continuously extends, which is now referred to as Thurston’s compactification [Thu88]. In particular, Thurston’s compactification is a bordification of $\mathcal{T}(S)$.

In terms of the $\text{Mod}(S)$ -action on Thurston’s compactification, the Nielsen–Thurston classification of mapping classes resembles the classification of isometries of Gromov hyperbolic spaces. Indeed, a transverse pair of measured foliations on S invariant under a pseudo-Anosov mapping class is unique up to scaling, and hence it gives rise to a pair of points in \mathcal{PMF} fixed by the pseudo-Anosov mapping class. Moreover, the stretching and contracting of the measured foliations imply the attracting and repelling of the fixed points in \mathcal{PMF} . This demonstrates that pseudo-Anosov mapping classes resemble loxodromic isometries on Gromov hyperbolic spaces. In this manner, we call two pseudo-Anosov mapping classes *independent*, if they have disjoint sets of fixed points in \mathcal{PMF} . Then a subgroup $G < \text{Mod}(S)$ is *non-elementary* if G contains two independent pseudo-Anosov mapping classes.

For the rest of this section, let $G < \text{Mod}(S)$ be non-elementary, and suppose \mathfrak{m} is a probability measure on G whose support generates G as a group.

We now prove that \mathfrak{m} is well-behaved with respect to Thurston’s compactification $\mathcal{T}(S) \cup \mathcal{PMF}$ and appropriately defined map P . First, Property (W1) and Property (W2) were proved by Kaimanovich–Masur [KM96].

To define the map P , we note that Kaimanovich–Masur further showed that the hitting measures ν and $\hat{\nu}$ are supported on the subset $\mathcal{UE} \subset \mathcal{PMF}$ of uniquely ergodic measured foliations. More precisely, a measured foliation \mathcal{F} on S is *uniquely ergodic* if it intersects all simple closed curves on S and the only topologically equivalent measured foliations to \mathcal{F} are multiples of \mathcal{F} . We also call its projective class uniquely ergodic, and $\mathcal{UE} \subset \mathcal{PMF}$ is the set of those projective classes. Since any two distinct measured foliations in \mathcal{UE} are transverse, there exists a (unique) Teichmüller geodesic in $\mathcal{T}(S)$ having them as endpoints. Therefore, we can define the map $P : \mathcal{PMF} \times \mathcal{PMF} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{QG}(\mathcal{T}(S)))$ by

$$P(y^-, y^+) = \{\text{the Teichmüller geodesic from } y^- \text{ to } y^+\} \quad \text{if } y^\pm \in \mathcal{UE} \text{ are distinct,}$$

and $P(y^-, y^+) = \emptyset$ otherwise. Then the map P is $\text{Mod}(S)$ -equivariant.

Now based on the fact that ν and $\hat{\nu}$ are supported on \mathcal{UE} and are non-atomic, Property (W3) follows. Moreover, Property (W4) follows from [KM96, Lemma 1.4.2], since ν and $\hat{\nu}$ are supported on \mathcal{UE} . Property (W5) follows from the work of Masur [Mas80], together with that ν is supported on \mathcal{UE} . Therefore, \mathbf{m} is well-behaved with respect to Thurston's compactification $\mathcal{T}(S) \cup \mathcal{PMF}$ and P .

Finally, Tiozzo's sublinear geodesic tracking [Tio15] implies that if \mathbf{m} has finite first moment, then $\ell(\mathbf{m}) > 0$. Hence, Theorem 2.1 applies.

3. RANDOM WALKS TRACK QUASI-GEODESICS

We continue to suppose \mathbf{G} , \overline{X} , $o \in X$, $P : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{QG}(X))$, and $D : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are as in Section 2.1. Let $a \geq 1$ and $K \geq 0$ be the constants in the definition of P .

In this section we observe that a generic random walk spends most of its time in a neighborhood of a quasi-geodesic ray. Analogous statements for different settings were proved in [Bén23, Theorem A bis], [KM96, Proof of Theorem 2.2.4], for instance.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose \mathbf{m} is well-behaved with respect to \overline{X} and P . Assume*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, o) = +\infty$$

for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ (e.g. \mathbf{m} has finite first moment and positive linear drift).

For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that: For $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, if $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic parametrizing an element of $P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$, then

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma|_{[0, +\infty)}) \leq R\} > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Proof. By Property (W1) and Property (W3), for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the limits

$$\zeta(\mathbf{g}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_1 \cdots g_n o \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_0^{-1} g_{-1}^{-1} \cdots g_{-n}^{-1} o$$

exist in ∂X and $D(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$ is finite. In particular,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}} \left(\left\{ \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}} : D(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g})) \leq R \right\} \right) = 1.$$

So we can fix $R > 0$ where the set

$$A_R := \left\{ \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}} : D(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g})) \leq R \right\}$$

satisfies $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A_R) > 1 - \epsilon$.

Note that for the shift map $S : \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\hat{\zeta}(S\mathbf{g}) = g_1^{-1} \hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(S\mathbf{g}) = g_1^{-1} \zeta(\mathbf{g}) \quad \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-a.e.}$$

Since P is \mathbf{G} -equivariant,

$$D(\hat{\zeta}(S^n \mathbf{g}), \zeta(S^n \mathbf{g})) = \sup_{\sigma \in P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))} d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma).$$

Since S preserves $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and is ergodic, it follows from the Birkhoff ergodic theorem that for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$(2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq n \leq N : \sup_{\sigma \in P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))} d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma) \leq R \right\} \\ = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{A_R}(S^n \mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A_R) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Since $\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g})$ and $\zeta(\mathbf{g})$ are independent and $\hat{\nu}$ is non-atomic by Property (W2), for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ we have

$$(3) \quad \hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}) \neq \zeta(\mathbf{g}).$$

Now $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies Equations (1), (2), and (3). Fix such \mathbf{g} and then fix a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ parametrizing an element of $P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$.

Let

$$I := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma) \leq R\} = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots\}.$$

For each $n_j \in I$ fix $t_{n_j} \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$d_X(g_1 \cdots g_{n_j} o, \sigma(t_{n_j})) \leq R.$$

By Equation (2),

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#(I \cap [1, N]) > 1 - \epsilon,$$

so to finish the proof we need to show that $t_j \rightarrow +\infty$. By Equation (1), we have $|t_j| \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $g_1 \cdots g_{n_j} o \rightarrow \zeta(\mathbf{g}) \in \partial X$ and $\zeta(\mathbf{g}) \neq \hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g})$ by Equation (3), Property (W4) implies that $t_j \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

4. QUASI-GEODESICS TRACK RANDOM WALKS

We continue to suppose \mathbf{G} , \overline{X} , $o \in X$, and $P : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{QG}(X))$ are as in Section 2.1. Let $a \geq 1$ and $K \geq 0$ be the constants in the definition of P .

In this section, we prove the complementary statement of Theorem 3.1 that a generic quasi-geodesic ray spends most of its time in a bounded neighborhood of a random walk.

For $R > 0$, let $\mathcal{N}_R(S)$ denote the R -neighborhood of a subset $S \subset X$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose \mathbf{m} has finite first moment, positive linear drift, and is well-behaved with respect to \overline{X} and P .*

For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that: For $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, if $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic parametrizing an element of $P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$, then

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} |\{t \in [0, T] : \sigma(t) \in \mathcal{N}_R(\cup_{n \geq 1} g_1 \cdots g_n o)\}| > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{2a^4}{1 - 2\epsilon_0} \frac{\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0}{\ell(\mathbf{m})} \epsilon_0 < \epsilon.$$

By Theorem 3.1, we can fix $R_0 > 0$ such that for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, if $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic parametrizing an element of $P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$, then

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma|_{[0, +\infty)}) \leq R_0\} > 1 - \epsilon_0.$$

By assumption, for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$(4) \quad \ell(\mathbf{m}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} d_X(o, g_1 \cdots g_n o) > 0.$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$A_k := \left\{ \mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}} : d_X(o, g_1 \cdots g_n o) \leq (\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0)n \text{ for all } n \geq k \right\}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A_k) = 1.$$

Hence we can fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A_k) > 1 - \epsilon_0$. Recall that the shift map $S : \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ preserves $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and is ergodic. Then by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : S^n \mathbf{g} \in A_k\} = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{A_k}(S^n \mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A_k) > 1 - \epsilon_0.$$

Thus for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, if $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic parametrizing an element of $P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$, then

$$(5) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq n \leq N : \begin{array}{l} S^n \mathbf{g} \in A_k \text{ and} \\ d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma|_{[0, +\infty)}) \leq R_0 \end{array} \right\} \geq 1 - 2\epsilon_0.$$

Fix $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ such that Equations (4) and (5) hold. Let

$$I_0 := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : S^n \mathbf{g} \in A_k \text{ and } d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma|_{[0, +\infty)}) \leq R_0\}$$

and let $I := \{n_1 < n_2 < \cdots\} \subset I_0$ be a maximal k -separated subset, i.e., I is a maximal subset of I_0 such that $|n_i - n_j| \geq k$ for all distinct $n_i, n_j \in I_0$. Notice that if $n_{j+1} \geq n_j + 2k$, then by maximality

$$n_j + k, n_j + k + 1, \dots, n_{j+1} - k \notin I_0.$$

So

$$\#(I_0 \cap [1, N]) \leq N - \sum_{n_{j+1} \leq N, n_{j+1} - n_j \geq 2k} n_{j+1} - n_j - 2k + 1.$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n_{j+1} \leq N, n_{j+1} - n_j \geq 2k} n_{j+1} - n_j - 2k + 1 \leq 2\epsilon_0.$$

Fix $R > 0$ such that

$$2 \cdot \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a^2(\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0)} - \frac{2R_0 + K}{\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0} \geq 2k.$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, fix $T_j \geq 0$ such that

$$d_X(g_1 \cdots g_{n_j} o, \sigma(T_j)) \leq R_0.$$

Let

$$\Omega := \{t \geq 0 : \sigma(t) \notin \mathcal{N}_R(\cup_{n \geq 1} g_1 \cdots g_n o)\}.$$

For $J \geq 2$, if $t \in \Omega \cap [T_1, T_J]$, then there exists $j \leq J-1$ with

$$T_j + \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a} \leq t \leq T_{j+1} - \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a}.$$

Further,

$$t \in \Omega \cap [T_j, T_{j+1}] \subset \left[T_j + \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a}, T_{j+1} - \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a} \right]$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a} &\leq T_{j+1} - T_j \leq a \, d_X(\sigma(T_j), \sigma(T_{j+1})) + aK \\ &\leq a(2R_0 + d_X(g_1 \cdots g_{n_j} o, g_1 \cdots g_{n_{j+1}} o)) + aK \\ &\leq a(2R_0 + K) + a(\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0)(n_{j+1} - n_j), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that $S^{n_j} \mathbf{g} \in A_k$. So, in this case,

$$n_{j+1} - n_j \geq 2 \cdot \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a^2(\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0)} - \frac{2R_0 + K}{\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0} \geq 2k.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Leb}(\Omega \cap [T_1, T_J]) \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{j \leq J-1, T_j < T_{j+1} \\ \Omega \cap [T_j, T_{j+1}] \neq \emptyset}} T_{j+1} - T_j - 2 \cdot \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{j \leq J-1, T_j < T_{j+1} \\ \Omega \cap [T_j, T_{j+1}] \neq \emptyset}} a(2R_0 + K) + a(\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0)(n_{j+1} - n_j) - 2 \cdot \frac{R - R_0 - K}{a} \\ &\leq a(\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0) \sum_{n_{j+1} \leq n_J, n_{j+1} - n_j \geq 2k} n_{j+1} - n_j - 2k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Equation (6),

$$\limsup_{J \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n_J} \text{Leb}(\Omega \cap [0, T_J]) \leq 2a(\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0)\epsilon_0.$$

Since

$$\frac{\ell(\mathbf{m})}{a} \leq \liminf_{J \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T_J}{n_J} \leq \limsup_{J \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T_J}{n_J} \leq a\ell(\mathbf{m}),$$

we then have

$$\limsup_{J \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T_J} \text{Leb}(\Omega \cap [0, T_J]) \leq 2a^2 \cdot \frac{\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0}{\ell(\mathbf{m})} \epsilon_0.$$

To finish the proof it suffices to show that $\limsup_{J \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T_{J+1}}{T_J} \leq \frac{a^2}{1-2\epsilon_0}$. Indeed, then for any $T > 0$ there exists J with $T_J \leq T \leq T_{J+1}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{Leb}(\Omega \cap [0, T]) &\leq \limsup_{J \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T_J} \text{Leb}(\Omega \cap [0, T_{J+1}]) \\ &\leq \frac{2a^4}{1-2\epsilon_0} \frac{\ell(\mathbf{m}) + \epsilon_0}{\ell(\mathbf{m})} \epsilon_0 < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose for a contradiction that $\limsup_{J \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T_{J+1}}{T_J} > \frac{a^2}{1-2\epsilon_0}$. Then there exist $J_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $c > \frac{a^2}{1-2\epsilon_0}$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T_{J_i+1}}{T_{J_i}} = c,$$

where we a priori allow $c = +\infty$ with the convention $\frac{1}{+\infty} = 0$. Then

$$\frac{c}{a^2} \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n_{J_i+1}}{n_{J_i}} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n_{J_i+1}}{n_{J_i}} \leq a^2 c.$$

By maximality, we have

$$n_{J_i} + k, n_{J_i} + k + 1, \dots, n_{J_i+1} - k \notin I_0.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 2\epsilon_0 &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n_{J_i+1}} \#(I_0 \cap [0, n_{J_i+1}]) \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n_{J_i+1} - (n_{J_i+1} - n_{J_i} - 2k + 1)}{n_{J_i+1}} \leq \frac{a^2}{c} < 1 - 2\epsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have a contradiction. \square

5. NON-SINGULAR STATIONARY MEASURES

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1, which we restate below in a slightly different format.

We continue to suppose \mathbf{G} , \overline{X} , $o \in X$, and $P : \partial X \times \partial X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{G}(X))$ are as in Section 2.1. Let $a \geq 1$ and $K \geq 0$ be the constants in the definition of P .

Theorem 5.1. *Assume*

- *the \mathbf{G} -action on X is metrically proper,*
- *\mathbf{m}_1 and \mathbf{m}_2 are probability measures on \mathbf{G} which have finite first moments, positive linear drifts, and are well-behaved with respect to \overline{X} and P , and*
- *for $j = 1, 2$, $H_j < \mathbf{G}$ is the group generated by the support of \mathbf{m}_j and $\nu_j := \zeta_* \mathbf{m}_j^{\mathbb{N}} = \zeta_* \mathbf{m}_j^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the forward hitting measure of the random walk induced by \mathbf{m}_j .*

If ν_1 and ν_2 are non-singular, then H_1 and H_2 are commensurable.

Using Theorem 4.1 we will show that a positive proportion of the random walks generated by \mathbf{m}_1 stays in a bounded neighborhood of $H_2 o$ most of the time.

Lemma 5.2. *With the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a measurable subset $E \subset \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $R > 0$ such that*

- (1) $\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{N}}(E) > 0$ and
- (2) *if $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in E$, then*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, H_2 o) < R\} > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Assuming Lemma 5.2 for a moment, we prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. By Lemma 5.2, there exist a measurable subset $E \subset \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{N}}(E) > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that for $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in E$,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, H_2 o) < R\} > 1/2.$$

Since the G -action on X is metrically proper, there exists a finite set $F \subset G$ such that $\{g \in G : d_X(go, H_2o) < R\} \subset H_2F$. Then for all $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in E$,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{1 \leq n \leq N : g_1 \cdots g_n \in H_2F\} > 1/2.$$

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow H_2 \backslash G$ denote the quotient map and let $\pi_* \mathbf{m}_1$ denote the pushforward of \mathbf{m}_1 on $H_2 \backslash G$. One can see that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in G$,

$$\mathbf{m}_1^{*n}(H_2g) = \left((\pi_* \mathbf{m}_1) * \mathbf{m}_1^{*(n-1)} \right) (H_2g),$$

where on the left hand side we have $H_2g \subset G$ and on the right hand side we have $H_2g \in H_2 \backslash G$. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the probability measure

$$\mu_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\pi_* \mathbf{m}_1) * \mathbf{m}_1^{*(n-1)}$$

on $H_2 \backslash G$.

By Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{m}_1^{*n}(H_2F) &= \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \int \#\{1 \leq n \leq N : g_1 \cdots g_n \in H_2F\} d\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g}) \\ &\geq \int \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{1 \leq n \leq N : g_1 \cdots g_n \in H_2F\} d\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g}) \\ &\geq \mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{N}}(E)/2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence on the compact subset $H_2F \subset H_2 \backslash G$, the measure μ_N is uniformly bounded from below by $\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{N}}(E)/3 > 0$, for all large $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix a weak-* accumulation point μ of $\{\mu_N\}$. Then μ is a finite non-zero measure on $H_2 \backslash G$. By construction, the measure μ is \mathbf{m}_1 -stationary, i.e., $\mu * \mathbf{m}_1 = \mu$. Further, since $\text{supp } \mathbf{m}_1 \subset H_1$, the measure μ is supported on $H_2 \backslash H_2H_1$. Let

$$\hat{H}_1 := \left\{ h \in H_1 : \mu(H_2h) = \max_{g \in H_1} \mu(H_2g) \right\}.$$

Since μ is a finite non-zero measure,

$$0 < \#(H_2 \backslash H_2\hat{H}_1) < +\infty.$$

Now for $h \in \hat{H}_1$,

$$\mu(H_2h) = (\mu * \mathbf{m}_1)(H_2h) = \sum_{g \in G} \mu(H_2hg^{-1}) \mathbf{m}_1(g).$$

This implies

$$\mu(H_2h) = \mu(H_2hg^{-1}) \quad \text{for all } g \in \text{supp } \mathbf{m}_1.$$

In particular, $h \cdot (\text{supp } \mathbf{m}_1)^{-1} \subset \hat{H}_1$. Since this holds for any $h \in \hat{H}_1$,

$$H_2 \backslash H_2\hat{H}_1 (\text{supp } \mathbf{m}_1)^{-1} \subset H_2 \backslash H_2\hat{H}_1.$$

Since $H_2 \backslash H_2\hat{H}_1$ is a finite set, this implies that

$$H_2 \backslash H_2\hat{H}_1 (\text{supp } \mathbf{m}_1)^{-1} = H_2 \backslash H_2\hat{H}_1.$$

Thus

$$H_2 \backslash H_2\hat{H}_1 = H_2 \backslash H_2\hat{H}_1 (\text{supp } \mathbf{m}_1).$$

Then, since $\langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m}_1 \rangle = \mathbf{H}_1$,

$$\mathbf{H}_2 \backslash \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{H}_1 = \mathbf{H}_2 \backslash \mathbf{H}_2 \hat{\mathbf{H}}_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\#(\mathbf{H}_2 \backslash \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{H}_1) < +\infty,$$

and hence $\mathbf{H}_1 \cap \mathbf{H}_2$ is a finite index subgroup of \mathbf{H}_1 . Switching \mathbf{H}_1 and \mathbf{H}_2 , the same argument implies that $\mathbf{H}_1 \cap \mathbf{H}_2$ is a finite index subgroup of \mathbf{H}_2 as well. \square

5.1. Proof of Lemma 5.2. By Theorem 3.1 applied to \mathbf{m}_1 , there exists $R_0 = R_0(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for $\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, if $\sigma_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic parametrizing an element of $P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$, then

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma_1|_{[0, +\infty)}) \leq R_0\} > 1 - \epsilon/4.$$

Since \mathbf{m}_1 has finite first moment and positive linear drift, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set

$$A_k := \left\{ \mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}} : d_X(o, g_1 \cdots g_n o) > 2R_0(1+a) + K \text{ for all } n \geq k \right\}$$

satisfies

$$\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A_k) > 1 - \epsilon/4.$$

Recall that $S : (\mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}})$ denotes the shift map. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have from the Birkhoff ergodic theorem that for $\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, if $\sigma_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic parametrizing an element of $P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$, then

$$(7) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq n \leq N : \begin{array}{l} S^n \mathbf{g} \in A_k \text{ and} \\ d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma_1|_{[0, +\infty)}) \leq R_0 \end{array} \right\} > 1 - \epsilon/2.$$

Now fix $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{(2k+1)al(\mathbf{m}_1)}{R_0} \cdot \epsilon_1 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By Theorem 4.1 applied to \mathbf{m}_2 , there exists $R_1 = R_1(\epsilon_1) > 0$ such that for ν_2 -a.e. $x \in \partial X$, there exists $y \in \partial X$ so that if $\sigma_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a (a, K) -quasi-geodesic parametrizing an element of $P(y, x)$, then

$$(8) \quad \liminf_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} |\{t \in [0, T] : \sigma_2(t) \in \mathcal{N}_{R_1}(\mathbf{H}_2 o)\}| > 1 - \epsilon_1.$$

By Property (W2), we may assume $y \neq x$.

Let $E' \subset \partial X$ denote the set of points satisfying Equation (8). Since $\nu_1 = \zeta_* \mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and ν_2 are non-singular, we have $\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\zeta^{-1} E') > 0$. Hence, there exists a subset $E \subset \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\mathbf{m}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(E) > 0$ and that for any $\mathbf{g} \in E$, \mathbf{g} and $\zeta(\mathbf{g})$ satisfy Equations (7) and (8) respectively. We may further assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} d_X(o, g_1 \cdots g_n o) = \ell(\mathbf{m}_1) > 0$$

for all $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in E$.

Then by Property (W5), for any $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in E$ and any (a, K) -quasi-geodesic $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ parametrizing an element of $P(\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{g}), \zeta(\mathbf{g}))$, we have

$$(9) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq n \leq N : \begin{array}{l} S^n \mathbf{g} \in A_k \text{ and} \\ d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma|_{[0, +\infty)}) \leq R_0 \end{array} \right\} > 1 - \epsilon/2$$

and

$$(10) \quad \liminf_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} |\{t \in [0, T] : \sigma(t) \in \mathcal{N}_{R_1+\kappa}(\mathbf{H}_2 o)\}| > 1 - \epsilon_1.$$

We claim that E and any

$$R > (1+a)R_0 + K + R_1 + \kappa$$

satisfy the lemma. Fix such R .

Fixing $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in E$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ as above, let

$$I_0 := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : S^n \mathbf{g} \in A_k \text{ and } d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \sigma|_{[0, +\infty)}) \leq R_0\}.$$

Then let

$$I'_0 := \{n \in I_0 : g_1 \cdots g_n o \notin \mathcal{N}_R(\mathbf{H}_2 o)\}.$$

By Equation (9),

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{1 \leq n \leq N : d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \mathbf{H}_2 o) < R\} \\ & \geq \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#(I_0 \cap [1, N]) - \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#(I'_0 \cap [1, N]) \\ & > 1 - \epsilon/2 - \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#(I'_0 \cap [1, N]) \end{aligned}$$

and so it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#(I'_0 \cap [1, N]) \leq \epsilon/2.$$

This is clearly true if I'_0 is finite and so we can assume that I'_0 is infinite.

Fix a maximal k -separated set $I \subset I'_0$, i.e. $|n - m| \geq k$ for all distinct $m, n \in I$.

Then by maximality,

$$I'_0 \subset \bigcup_{n \in I} [n - k, n + k]$$

and so

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#(I'_0 \cap [1, N]) \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2k+1}{N} \#(I \cap [1, N]).$$

Enumerate $I = \{n_1 < n_2 < \cdots\}$ and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ fix $t_j \in [0, +\infty)$ with

$$d_X(g_1 \cdots g_{n_j} o, \sigma(t_j)) \leq R_0.$$

Notice that

$$\sigma([t_j - R_0, t_j + R_0]) \subset \mathcal{N}_{R_0+aR_0+K}(g_1 \cdots g_{n_j} o)$$

and so

$$\sigma([t_j - R_0, t_j + R_0]) \cap \mathcal{N}_{R_1+\kappa}(\mathbf{H}_2 o) = \emptyset.$$

Further, if $n_i < n_j$, then

$$d_X(g_1 \cdots g_{n_i} o, g_1 \cdots g_{n_j} o) = d_X(o, g_{n_i+1} \cdots g_{n_j} o) > 2R_0(1+a) + K$$

since $S^{n_i} \mathbf{g} \in A_k$ and I is k -separated. So

$$|t_i - t_j| \geq \frac{1}{a} d_X(\sigma(t_i), \sigma(t_j)) - \frac{K}{a} > 2R_0$$

and hence

$$[t_i - R_0, t_i + R_0] \cap [t_j - R_0, t_j + R_0] = \emptyset.$$

Next let $T_N = \max\{t_j : n_j \leq N\}$. Then the above implies that

$$2R_0 \cdot \#(I \cap [1, N]) \leq |\{t \in [-R_0, T_N + R_0] : \sigma(t) \notin \mathcal{N}_{R_1+\kappa}(\mathbf{H}_2 o)\}|.$$

Notice that

$$t_j \leq a d_X(\sigma(t_j), \sigma(0)) + aK \leq a d_X(g_1 \cdots g_{n_j} o, \sigma(0)) + aR_0 + aK$$

and so

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T_N}{N} \leq a\ell(\mathbf{m}_1).$$

Then Equation (10) implies that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#(I \cap [1, N]) \leq \frac{a\ell(\mathbf{m}_1)}{2R_0} \epsilon_1$$

and so

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#(I'_0 \cap [1, N]) \leq (2k+1) \frac{a\ell(\mathbf{m}_1)}{2R_0} \epsilon_1 < \epsilon/2$$

by our choice of ϵ_1 .

Thus

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{1 \leq n \leq N : d_X(g_1 \cdots g_n o, \mathbf{H}_2 o) < R\} > 1 - \epsilon,$$

as desired. Now replacing E with its image under the projection $\mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{N}}$ finishes the proof. \square

6. RANDOM WALKS ON NORMAL SUBGROUPS

In this section, suppose \mathbf{G} is a finitely generated group, \mathbf{G} acts by homeomorphisms on a compact metrizable space Y , and $\mathbf{H} \triangleleft \mathbf{G}$ is a normal subgroup with $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ where $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Also, let $|\cdot|$ denote a word length on \mathbf{G} with respect to some fixed generating set.

Recall that a probability measure ν on Y is \mathbf{m} -stationary if

$$m * \nu = \nu.$$

We call \mathbf{m} *symmetric* if $\mathbf{m}(g) = \mathbf{m}(g^{-1})$ for all $g \in \mathbf{G}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose \mathbf{m} is a symmetric probability measure on \mathbf{G} whose support generates \mathbf{G} as a group and ν is a \mathbf{m} -stationary measure on Y . If \mathbf{m} has finite k^{th} moment for $|\cdot|$, i.e.,*

$$\sum_{g \in \mathbf{G}} |g|^k \mathbf{m}(g) < +\infty,$$

*then there exists a symmetric probability measure \mathbf{m}' on \mathbf{H} whose support generates \mathbf{H} as a group and where $\mathbf{m}' * \nu = \nu$.*

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of the proposition. For $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathbb{N}}$, define the stopping time

$$\tau(\mathbf{g}) := \inf\{n \geq 1 : g_1 \cdots g_n \in \mathbf{H}\}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *τ is finite $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -a.e.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ be the quotient map. Then $\pi_* \mathbf{m}$ induces a symmetric random walk with finite k^{th} moment on $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ and τ represents the first return time of this random walk to $0 \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Since $k \in \{1, 2\}$, by [CF51] this walk is recurrent, which implies that τ is finite $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -a.e. \square

Next define $\xi : G^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow H$ by $\xi(\mathbf{g}) = g_1 \cdots g_{\tau(\mathbf{g})}$ and let $\mathbf{m}' := \xi_* \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Since \mathbf{m} is a symmetric probability measure whose support generates G as a group, \mathbf{m}' is a symmetric probability measure whose support generates H as a group.

Lemma 6.3. $\mathbf{m}' * \nu = \nu$.

Proof. Let $\text{Prob}(Y)$ denote the space of probability measures on Y . By the martingale convergence theorem, there exists a measurable map $\mathbf{g} \in G^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \nu_{\mathbf{g}} \in \text{Prob}(Y)$ so that:

$$(1) \text{ For } \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}\text{-a.e. } \mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in G^{\mathbb{N}},$$

$$(g_1 \cdots g_n)_* \nu \rightarrow \nu_{\mathbf{g}}$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$(2) \nu = \int \nu_{\mathbf{g}} d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g})$$

(see for instance [BQ16, Lemmas 2.17 and 2.19]). Notice that (1) implies that

$$\nu_{(g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots)} = (g_1)_* \nu_{(g_2, g_3, \dots)}$$

for $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -a.e. $\mathbf{g} = (g_n) \in G^{\mathbb{N}}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\pi_n : G^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow G^n$ be the projection onto the first n factors and let

$$A_n := \{\pi_n(\mathbf{g}) : \tau(\mathbf{g}) = n\}.$$

Then the sets $A_n \times G^{\mathbb{N}} \subset G^{\mathbb{N}}$ are disjoint and their union has full $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -measure in $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ by Lemma 6.2. So writing $\mathbf{h} = (h_n) \in G^{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}' * \nu &= \sum_{h \in H} \mathbf{m}'(h) h_* \nu = \int \int (h_1 \cdots h_{\tau(\mathbf{h})})_* \nu_{\mathbf{g}} d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{h}) d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g}) \\ &= \int \int \nu_{(h_1, \dots, h_{\tau(\mathbf{h})}, \mathbf{g})} d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{h}) d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g}) \\ &= \int \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in A_n} \nu_{(h_1, \dots, h_n, \mathbf{g})} d\mathbf{m}(h_1) \cdots d\mathbf{m}(h_n) d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n \times G^{\mathbb{N}}} \nu_{\mathbf{g}} d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g}) = \int \nu_{\mathbf{g}} d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g}) = \nu. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 6.4. We remark that when $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the symmetric probability measure \mathbf{m} has finite support, then the induced probability measure \mathbf{m}' has finite p^{th} moment for all $p < 1/2$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{h \in H} |h|^p \mathbf{m}'(h) < +\infty$$

where $|\cdot|$ is a word length on G with respect to some fixed generating set.

To see this, note that for $C_0 := \max_{g \in \text{supp } \mathbf{m}} |g| < +\infty$, we have $|\xi(\mathbf{g})| \leq C_0 \cdot \tau(\mathbf{g})$. Hence,

$$\sum_{h \in H} |h|^p \mathbf{m}'(h) = \int |\xi(\mathbf{g})|^p d\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbf{g}) \leq C_0^p \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^p \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\{\mathbf{g} \in G^{\mathbb{N}} : \tau(\mathbf{g}) = n\}).$$

So for some $C_1 > 0$,

$$\sum_{h \in H} |h|^p \mathbf{m}'(h) \leq C_1 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}(\{\mathbf{g} \in G^{\mathbb{N}} : \tau(\mathbf{g}) > n\}).$$

Note that $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbb{N}}\left(\left\{\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{G}^{\mathbb{N}} : \tau(\mathbf{g}) > n\right\}\right)$ is the same as the probability that the random walk on $\mathbb{G}/H \cong \mathbb{Z}$ generated by $\pi_*\mathbf{m}$ starting from 0 does not return to 0 in n steps, where $\pi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}/H$ is the quotient map. This probability is asymptotic to a constant multiple of $n^{-1/2}$ [LL10, Proposition 4.2.4]. Therefore, for some $C > 0$,

$$\sum_{h \in H} |h|^p \mathbf{m}'(h) \leq C \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-3/2}$$

and the right hand side converges when $p < 1/2$.

6.1. An Example: fibered hyperbolic 3-manifolds. As in the introduction, let \mathbb{H}^3 denote real hyperbolic 3-space. The boundary at infinity $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ is diffeomorphic to the two-sphere and $\mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ acts by diffeomorphisms. Let Leb denote a measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ induced by a smooth volume form.

Fix a torsion-free cocompact lattice $\Gamma < \mathbf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ such that the closed hyperbolic 3-manifold $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ admits a fibration

$$S \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$$

over the circle with a fiber $S \subset M$. Then we can view $\pi_1(S)$ as an infinite-index normal subgroup in Γ , with the quotient $\Gamma/\pi_1(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Using Proposition 6.1 and work of Ballmann–Ledrappier, we will prove the following.

Proposition 6.5. *There exists a probability measure \mathbf{m}' with $\pi_1(S) = \langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m}' \rangle$ whose associated stationary measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Leb .*

Proof. By [BL96] there exists a symmetric probability measure \mathbf{m} with $\Gamma = \langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m} \rangle$ whose unique stationary measure ν is absolutely continuous with respect to Leb and where

$$\sum_{g \in \Gamma} d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(go, o) \mathbf{m}(g) < +\infty.$$

Since Γ acts cocompactly on \mathbb{H}^3 , the Švarc–Milnor lemma implies that

$$\sum_{g \in \Gamma} |g| \mathbf{m}(g) < +\infty,$$

where $|\cdot|$ is a word length on Γ with respect to some fixed finite generating set. Since $\Gamma/\pi_1(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, by Proposition 6.1 there exists a probability measure \mathbf{m}' with $\pi_1(S) = \langle \text{supp } \mathbf{m}' \rangle$ whose associated stationary measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ is ν , and hence absolutely continuous with respect to Leb . \square

REFERENCES

- [Ago04] Ian Agol. Tameness of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *arXiv preprint math/0405568*, 2004.
- [Ago13] Ian Agol. The virtual Haken conjecture. *Doc. Math.*, 18:1045–1087, 2013. With an appendix by Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Manning.
- [And94] James W. Anderson. Intersections of analytically and geometrically finite subgroups of Kleinian groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 343(1):87–98, 1994.
- [Bén23] Timothée Bénard. Some asymptotic properties of random walks on homogeneous spaces. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 19:161–186, 2023.
- [BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

- [BL96] Werner Ballmann and François Ledrappier. Discretization of positive harmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds and Martin boundary. In *Actes de la Table Ronde de Géométrie Différentielle (Luminy, 1992)*, volume 1 of *Sémin. Congr.*, pages 77–92. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996.
- [BQ16] Yves Benoist and Jean-François Quint. *Random walks on reductive groups*, volume 62 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [Can93] Richard D. Canary. Ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6(1):1–35, 1993.
- [CF51] K. L. Chung and W. H. J. Fuchs. On the distribution of values of sums of random variables. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6:12, 1951.
- [CG06] Danny Calegari and David Gabai. Shrinkwrapping and the taming of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(2):385–446, 2006.
- [CM07a] Chris Connell and Roman Muchnik. Harmonicity of Gibbs measures. *Duke Math. J.*, 137(3):461–509, 2007.
- [CM07b] Chris Connell and Roman Muchnik. Harmonicity of quasiconformal measures and Poisson boundaries of hyperbolic spaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(3):707–769, 2007.
- [CT07] James W. Cannon and William P. Thurston. Group invariant Peano curves. *Geom. Topol.*, 11:1315–1355, 2007.
- [DSU17] Tushar Das, David Simmons, and Mariusz Urbański. *Geometry and dynamics in Gromov hyperbolic metric spaces*, volume 218 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. With an emphasis on non-proper settings.
- [EK74a] Clifford J. Earle and Irwin Kra. On holomorphic mappings between Teichmüller spaces. In *Contributions to analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers)*, pages 107–124. Academic Press, New York-London, 1974.
- [EK74b] Clifford J. Earle and Irwin Kra. On isometries between Teichmüller spaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 41:583–591, 1974.
- [EMR] Alex Eskin, Maryam Mirzakhani, and Kasra Rafi. In preparation.
- [FLP79] Albert Fathi, François Laudenbach, and Valentin Poénaru. *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, volume 66 of *Astérisque*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1979. Séminaire Orsay, With an English summary.
- [Fri82] David Fried. The geometry of cross sections to flows. *Topology*, 21(4):353–371, 1982.
- [GMPU25] Vaibhav Gadre, Joseph Maher, Catherine Pfaff, and Caglar Uyanik. Singularity of Cannon-Thurston maps. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.04350*, 2025.
- [GMT17] Vaibhav Gadre, Joseph Maher, and Giulio Tiozzo. Word length statistics for Teichmüller geodesics and singularity of harmonic measure. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 92(1):1–36, 2017.
- [Gou22] Sébastien Gouëzel. Exponential bounds for random walks on hyperbolic spaces without moment conditions. *Tunis. J. Math.*, 4(4):635–671, 2022.
- [Iva01] Nikolai V. Ivanov. Isometries of Teichmüller spaces from the point of view of Mostow rigidity. In *Topology, ergodic theory, real algebraic geometry*, volume 202 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 131–149. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [Kai00] Vadim A. Kaimanovich. The Poisson formula for groups with hyperbolic properties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(3):659–692, 2000.
- [KB02] Ilya Kapovich and Nadia Benakli. Boundaries of hyperbolic groups. In *Combinatorial and geometric group theory (New York, 2000/Hoboken, NJ, 2001)*, volume 296 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 39–93. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [KL15] Ilya Kapovich and Martin Lustig. Cannon-Thurston fibers for iwip automorphisms of F_N . *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 91(1):203–224, 2015.
- [KM96] Vadim A. Kaimanovich and Howard Masur. The Poisson boundary of the mapping class group. *Invent. Math.*, 125(2):221–264, 1996.
- [LL10] Gregory F. Lawler and Vlada Limic. *Random walk: a modern introduction*, volume 123 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [LS84] Terry Lyons and Dennis Sullivan. Function theory, random paths and covering spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 19(2):299–323, 1984.

- [Mas80] Howard Masur. Uniquely ergodic quadratic differentials. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 55(2):255–266, 1980.
- [Mit98] Mahan Mitra. Cannon-Thurston maps for hyperbolic group extensions. *Topology*, 37(3):527–538, 1998.
- [MP89] John McCarthy and Athanase Papadopoulos. Dynamics on Thurston’s sphere of projective measured foliations. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 64(1):133–166, 1989.
- [MT18] Joseph Maher and Giulio Tiozzo. Random walks on weakly hyperbolic groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 742:187–239, 2018.
- [Nie44] Jakob Nielsen. Surface transformation classes of algebraically finite type. *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.*, 21(2):89, 1944.
- [Roy71] H. L. Royden. Automorphisms and isometries of Teichmüller space. In *Advances in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces (Proc. Conf., Stony Brook, N.Y., 1969)*, volume No. 66 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 369–383. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.
- [SS92] Perry Susskind and Gadde A. Swarup. Limit sets of geometrically finite hyperbolic groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 114(2):233–250, 1992.
- [Thu86] William P. Thurston. A norm for the homology of 3-manifolds. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 59(339):i–vi and 99–130, 1986.
- [Thu88] William P. Thurston. On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 19(2):417–431, 1988.
- [Tio15] Giulio Tiozzo. Sublinear deviation between geodesics and sample paths. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(3):511–539, 2015.
- [Tuk89] P. Tukia. A rigidity theorem for Möbius groups. *Invent. Math.*, 97(2):405–431, 1989.
- [Wis09] Daniel T. Wise. Research announcement: the structure of groups with a quasiconvex hierarchy. *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.*, 16:44–55, 2009.
- [Wis21] Daniel T. Wise. *The structure of groups with a quasiconvex hierarchy*, volume 209 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, [2021] ©2021.
- [YJ10] Wen-Yuan Yang and Yue-Ping Jiang. Limit sets and commensurability of Kleinian groups. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 82(1):1–9, 2010.